

(2) Patel N, Dorin B., Nagaich R., 2022. A New Paradigm for Indian Agriculture. From Agroindustry to Agroecology, NITI Aayog Theory, Practice and Challenges of Agroecology in India, International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability B., Joly P.-B., 2020. Modelling world agriculture as a learning machine? From mainstream models to Agribiom 1.0, Land Use Policy (1) Dorin B 2) Dorin

Economie Rurale Inde, agricole travail revenu du Croissance et 2016. Aubron C., Dorin B 3

Dorin B., 2017. India and Africa in the Global Agricultural System (1960-2050), EPW
 Dorin B., Hourcade J.-C., Benoit-Cattin M., 2013. A World without Farmers?, CIRED WP 47

**Bruno DORIN** Senior economist (Ph.D.) CIRAD/CIRED (Paris) - CSH (Delhi) bruno.dorin@cirad.fr Workforce Food Livelihood Agro-A World Without Farmers industrial scenario? Agroecological scenario? A World With Farmers and Nature

MAKE VISIBLE THE INVISIBLE (in our science and models)

An Indian scenario of AGROECOLOGY

good for climate, biodiversity, food security and the SDGs

LCS-RNet Event 15-16 December 2022 **Session on Agriculture and Forestry** 

## Just published on French agriculture (Bamière et al. 2023)

	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
	Journal of Cleaner Production
ELSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jclepro
A marginal abater additional carbon	nent cost curve for climate change mitigation by storage in French agricultural land
L. Bamière <sup>a,*</sup> , V. Bella J. Constantin <sup>i</sup> , N. Dela E. Letort <sup>1</sup> , R. Martin <sup>k</sup> , O. Thérond <sup>1</sup> , S. Pelleri	ssen <sup>b</sup> , D. Angers <sup>c</sup> , R. Cardinael <sup>d,e,f</sup> , E. Ceschia <sup>g</sup> , C. Chenu <sup>h</sup> , ame <sup>a</sup> , A. Diallo <sup>b</sup> , AI. Graux <sup>j</sup> , S. Houot <sup>h</sup> , K. Klumpp <sup>k</sup> , C. Launay <sup>h,i</sup> , D. Mézière <sup>m</sup> , C. Mosnier <sup>n</sup> , O. Réchauchère <sup>o,p</sup> , M. Schiavo <sup>1,o,q</sup> , n <sup>s</sup>
MACC sho	ows abatement potential of 40–60 MtCO <sub>2</sub> e.yr <sup>-1</sup>
for carbor	ricultural carbon cink notantial
is 5 times	higher than anticipated by the government
<ul> <li>Key practi</li> </ul>	ces: agroforestry, hedges, cover crops,
grassland	s in crop sequences
How with boos	many more carbon sinks in a tropical country like India much more innovative and complex practices sting agro-diversity & biological synergies on living soils???

# **Rise of agroecology in Andhra Pradesh**

Dorin B., 2022. Theory, Practice and Challenges of Agroecology in India, International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability, 20(2), pp. 153-67

- 1 <u>A state/national crisis of industrial agriculture & food</u> (IA, or Green Revolution...)
- farmers distress/suicides + "jobless growth" of the Indian economy
- unbalanced & unhealthy food (pesticides...)
- massive erosion of natural resources (soil, water, biodiversity...)
- climate change deepened by massive agri-emissions of GHG (power for irrigation, fertilizers, cattle...)
- etc. (subsidy scheme aggravating the whole...)

2 <u>An alternative sociotechnical niche</u> "NATURAL FARMING" (APCNF) with:

- Zero fertilizer
- Zero pesticide
- Low or no irrigation
- BUT agro-biodiversity feeding the soil food web 365 days/year

### 3 Supported by institutional innovations for collective actions and scaling

Within 6 years (2016-2021) already 1 million micro-farmers practising "Natural Farming" in Andhra Pradesh



#### Pre-Monsoon Dry Sowing (PMDS) +

#### Beejamrutham

Microbial seed coating through cow urine and dung -based formulations

#### Jeevamrutham

Enhance soil microbiome through an 'inoculum' of cow dung, cow urine, jaggery, pulse flour, uncontaminated soil

#### 03 Achhadana

Ground to be kept covered with crops and crop residues as mulching

#### Waaphasa

02

ZBNF

Four

Wheels

Fast buildup of soil humus through ZBNF leading to soil aeration and water vapour harnessing





# Agro-industry vs Agroecology (or Nature-based Farming)

### AGRO-INDUSTRY

• **Specialization** in a few standardized mass-productions (wheat, rice, corn, soya, palm oil, sugar cane, cow's milk...) to enable their mechanization/robotization and generate **economies of scale**, the profit-driver of any industrial activity

• With the use of **inputs produced by science & industry** to increase land productivity (genetic materials, water from dams, canals or pumps, fossil energy, chemical fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides or fungicides, growth hormones or antibiotics, robotics, artificial intelligence...)



### AGROECOLOGY

Land and labour productivity based on a mosaic of local agroecosystems that, each in their own way, stimulate and optimize biological synergies between many plant and animal species beneath and upon the earth's surface, from soil fungi to cereals, pulses and trees, from bacteria or earthworms to large bovids (Dorin et al. 2013, Dorin 2017, Dorin 2021)



Extremely difficult to model (if possible one day...):

- very complex relationships between multiple living beings (including bacteria & fungi)
- billions of unique combinations/optimizations (no universal "solution" like the GR package...)
- lack (and cost...) of scientific documentation and data on multiple (biological) inputs-outputs...

## Too complex to model = No future ??? (in India and elsewhere)

No agroecological scenario in global models (IPPC & Co) which makes future and benefits of agroecology invisible

Yet, first observations and/or evidence of Natural Farming in India show multiple benefits of agroecological scenarios:



With Natural Farming,

we can boost biomass productions (& incomes) without fertilizer, pesticides, massive irrigation & subsidies,

but yet science very little understand why and how!!!

(T. Vijay Kumar 2022)

Much higher carbon sink than with industrial agriculture (that kills soil life...)
 → hundreds of millions micro-farmers (and not few CCS industries...) could contribute to climate mitigation

- 2 High production in useful biomass per surface unit (no yield penalty, higher efficiency of small-scale agriculture...)
   → food security is not endangered, and should even be improved (more nutritious and healthy food)
- 3 High resilience to economic, climate and biotic shocks (due to biodiversity and no industrial inputs)
   → adaptation to climate change is improved (droughts, floods, typhoons...)
   reliance on conventional inputs prices is reduced (fossil energies, chemicals, insurances...)
- 4 More profitability for micro-farmers, primarily through input savings

   (lab-seeds, chemicals, machineries, credit, insurance... that can not benefit from economy of scale with micro-farms)
   → poverty and domestic farm-nonfarm income gap could be reduced
- More inclusive and labour intensive than input & capital intensive
   → hundreds of millions of currently unemployed people could find a useful job

#### 6 High production in many environmental goods & services

(mitigation & adaptation to climate change, drinking water, biodiversity reservoirs, soil fertility, nutrient recycling, pollination...)

→ hundreds of millions of micro-farmers could receive PES to avert future local and global disasters, and supplement their income constrained by the micro-size of their farms

## Make visible an agroecological scenario for India

### ■ The foresight platform "AgroEco2050" (2019-2022)



Kick-off meeting of the AgroEco2050 project (AP Secretariate, Amaravati, 17/05/2019)



## With a flexible, simple, comprehensive and transparent numeric tools

(Dorin B., Joly P.-B., 2020. Modelling world agriculture as a learning machine? From mainstream models to Agribiom 1.0, Land Use Policy)

### The Agribiom model/experiment



to improve:

- collective knowledge
- policy-making
- democratic actions

## Inequality: UBI + input & price subsidies vs. PES/farmer?

<b>2050</b> (from 2019)	100% Industrial	100% Natural	
Population (million capita)	59.5 (+0.4% p.a.)	59.5 (+0.4% p.a.)	
Workforce (20-64 years)	35.4 (+0.3% p.a.)	35.4 (+0.3% p.a.)	
Unemployment	10.6 (30%)	0 (0%)	5
Employment	24.8 (70%)	35.4 (100%) <sup>15%</sup>	l
- Farmers	5.0 (20%)	12.4 (35%)	6
- Nonfarmers	19.8 (80%)	23.0 (65%) 7%	   
Cropland (million ha)	5.5 M (–0.4% p.a.)	8.3 (+0.9% p.a.)	
<b>GVA</b> (trillion INR-2011)	<b>36.9</b> (+6.0% p.a.)	42.6 (+6.5% p.a.)	Ľ
- Farm sector	5.4 (+3.5% p.a.)	11.2 (+6% p.a.)	
- Nonfarm sector	<b>31.5</b> (+6.7% p.a.)	<b>31.4</b> (+6.7% p.a.)	
Productivity (INR/day)	4080 (+5.7% p.a.)	3307 (+5.0% p.a.)	
- Cropland (ha)	2670 (+3.9% p.a.)	3719 (+5.0% p.a.)	F
- Farmer	2967 (+5.6% p.a.)	2489 (+5.0% p.a.)	V
- Nonfarmer	4359 (+5.3% p.a.)	3748 (+4.8% p.a.)	F
Agri income gap (INR/day)	1392	1259	
Structural Path (2019-2050)	Farmer Excluding	Farmer Developing	

### Social policies

Universal Basic Income at 1450 INR/cap/day Input & price subsidies to close the gap

22% of GDP

Environmental services without scale economies PES/farmer to close de gap

## Conclusion

All in all, today's societies have to choose between two contrasting paths:

1 continue to massively produce a few products that are processed, assembled and differentiated downstream, where market values, investments and jobs are increasingly concentrated, particularly to resolve the social and environmental flaws in the system (rising costs of healthcare, water depletion & pollution, soil and biodiversity erosion, climate change...)

2 produce in symbiosis in and with nature, with markets values, investments and jobs concentrated upstream to provide a diversity of quality products, as well as services (to be paid unlike today) such as water filtering, soil carbon sequestration, or resilience to biotic and abiotic shocks (energy price, climate change...)



With hundreds millions of micro-farmers (best insurance for high yields + people & nature health) India has a comparative advantage to gain and lead with option 2