



政策支援及び第2期作業計画に関する専門家所見

IPBES 国内連絡会
名古屋大学大学院環境学研究科
香坂玲

政策立案支援ツールと方法論のカタログ 専門家
アジア・オセアニア地域評価 第1章 統括執筆責任者 CLA
IPBES 第1期作業計画 外部レビュー メンバー

第2期作業計画---
政策支援タスクフォース 専門家
生種の持続可能な利用に関するテーマ別評価 Chap.3 Review Editor


Intergovernmental science-policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services



Welcome to IPBES

The Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) is the intergovernmental body with a mandate to assess the state of biodiversity and of the ecosystem services it provides to society, in response to requests from decision makers.

[Find out more](#)



Assessments



Policy Support



Capacity-building



Indigenous and local knowledge



Knowledge and data

ipbes

HOME ABOUT DONORS [WORK PROGRAMME](#) NEWS CALENDAR DOCUMENTS RESOURCES

Overall objective of IPBES

To strengthen the science-policy interface for biodiversity and ecosystem services for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity, long-term human well-being and sustainable development

Policy framework of the rolling work programme up to 2030

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, including the Sustainable Development Goals, the biodiversity-related conventions and other biodiversity and ecosystem services processes

| INITIAL PRIORITY TOPICS of the work programme | TOPIC 1 Understanding the importance of biodiversity in achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development | TOPIC 2 Understanding the underlying causes of biodiversity loss and determinants of transformative change and options for achieving the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity | TOPIC 3 Measuring business impact and dependence on biodiversity and nature's contributions to people | Supporting the achievement of the overall objective of IPBES |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| OBJECTIVES of the work programme | Deliverable 1 (a): Assessing interlinkages among biodiversity, water, food and health (thematic assessment) Deliverable 1 (b): Assessing the interlinkages between biodiversity and climate change (technical paper) | Deliverable 1 (c): Assessing the underlying causes of biodiversity loss and the determinants of transformative change and options for achieving the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity (thematic assessment) | Deliverable 1 (d): Assessing the impact and dependence of business on biodiversity and nature's contributions to people (fast-track methodological assessment) | |
| OBJECTIVE 1 Assessing knowledge | | | | |
| OBJECTIVE 2 Building capacity | (a) Enhanced learning and engagement (b) Facilitated access to expertise and information (c) Strengthened national and regional capacities | (*) (*) (*) | (*) (*) (*) | (*) (*) (*) |
| OBJECTIVE 3 Strengthening the knowledge foundations | (a) Advanced work on knowledge and data (b) Enhanced recognition of and work with indigenous and local knowledge systems | (*) (*) | (*) (*) | (*) (*) |
| OBJECTIVE 4 Supporting policy | (a) Advanced work on policy instruments, policy support tools and methodologies (b) Advanced work on scenarios and models of biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services (c) Advanced work on multiple values | (*) (*) (*) | (*) (*) (*) | (*) (*) (*) |
| OBJECTIVE 5 Communicating and engaging | (a) Strengthened communication (b) Strengthened engagement of Governments (c) Strengthened engagement | | | |
| OBJECTIVE 6 Improving the effectiveness of the Platform | (a) Improving the effectiveness of the Platform (b) Improving the effectiveness of the Platform (c) Improving the effectiveness of the Platform | | | |

2030年までの作業計画 HPに掲載


(*) Specific deliverables to be developed by task forces

いくつかの論点（私見）

- 専門家会合・総会の開催方式
（リモート vs 対面 課題・メリット・予算への関係性）
- 政策支援
（実務家の参加、IPBESproductsの活用状況）
- 政府と科学者の役割・関与（と誤解）
（IPBES/IPCC合同WS及びパンデミックWSレポートを巡って）

いくつかの論点（私見）

- 専門家会合・総会の開催方式
(リモート vs 対面 課題・メリット・予算への関係性)
- 政策支援
(実務家の参加、IPBESproductsの活用状況)
- 政府と科学者の役割・関与（と誤解）
(IPBES/IPCC合同WS及びパンデミックWSレポートを巡って)



文書作成の流れ

生物多様性条約(CBD)のコンタクト・グループの様子(2010年 COP10)

対面は密にやっていました

文書交渉・スピードの減速感

- 2016年（対面会議）

IPBES 5 で9ページに8時間と

報告

| | | |
|---|--|---|
|  | | IPBES/5/4 |
| Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services | | Distr.: General+ 20 December 2016+ Original: English+ |

Plenary of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services
Fifth session+

Bonn, Germany, 7–10 March 2017+

▪ Item 6 (b) of the provisional agenda*+

▪ Work programme of the Platform: indigenous and local knowledge systems+

▪ Indigenous and local knowledge systems (deliverable 1 (c)).

▪ Note by the secretariat+

Introduction+

1. The Plenary of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES), in decision with support from the time-bound procedures for and approaches to establish a roster and network of indigenous and local knowledge systems. +

- 2021年 オンライン
文書の長さの割に遅い

最後にWG議長提案で
猛烈にワープも?

生物多様性条約(CBD)でも
パラに4時間など

2017 IPBES 5
報告会 & 国内
連絡会
(香坂報告)

毎時速 1ページの議論

9ページに8時間以上

オンライン会議の教訓

出典 IPBES/8/L.5
Financial and budgetary
arrangements for the
Platform Note by the
secretariat

2. *Requests* the Executive Secretary, under the guidance of the Bureau, to increase efforts to encourage members of the Platform to pledge and contribute to the trust fund of the Platform, as well as through in-kind contributions, and to report to the Plenary at its ninth session on expenditures for 2021 and on activities related to fundraising working under the guidance of the Bureau;

3. *Adopts* the revised budget for 2021, amounting to \$5,674,428 as set out in table 8 of the annex to the present decision;

4. *Also adopts* the budget for 2022, amounting to \$9,882,675, as set out in table 9 of the annex to the present decision;

5. *Further adopts* the provisional budget for 2023, amounting to \$9,860,670, as set out in table 10 of the annex to the present decision;


6. *Further requests* the Executive Secretary, under the guidance of the Bureau, to review lessons learnt from online meetings and other online working practices, to provide proposals to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the Platform's working arrangements, including the implications on the budget, whilst responding to the need to enable full and effective participation of members, experts and stakeholders, and to report for consideration by the Plenary at its ninth session;

オンライン会議による予算の削減、効率化、効果があったものの

発展途上国を中心に「排除」「気分を害する」(offended)と反発も
初回は対面、2回目以降はリモートというハイブリッド案など

合意の文書 (L-doc)

今回はScoping report (Assessment ではない)



WG議長が何度も 指摘

- 最終的に採択となるアセスメント SPMなどと異なり
- あくまでアセスの著者陣が理解し、作業できる文書であることを確認

それでも 概念・文言を巡る局所的な議論も多い

B. Timeline and geographic coverage

7. This assessment will be global in scope but highlight and interpret regional and sub-regional similarities and differences, and will include terrestrial, freshwater and marine systems.
8. The time frame of analyses will cover the past (since the last 50 years, the industrial revolution, from around 1500 or as far back as appropriate as data or information is available or as clearly relevant to future response options or to understand current status and trends) and plausible future projections up until 2050, with a focus on various periods up to 2050 that cover key target dates related to the post-2020 global biodiversity framework³ and the Sustainable Development Goals.

やや粗めの箇所も

- ・ 今回 IPBESは Scopingのみ
アセスメント本体の採択が無く、軽めの議題

課題はあるが、オンラインで採択の意義
(他の国際プロセスへの波及・教訓など)

* 生物多様性条約は 採択直前(L-docまで)
対面でのみ採択をする

いくつかの論点（私見）

- 専門家会合・総会の開催方式
(リモート vs 対面 課題・メリット・予算への関係性)
- 政策支援 /Scoping プロセス ロゴの使用等
(実務家の参加、IPBES productsの活用状況)
- 政府と科学者の役割・関与（と誤解）
(IPBES/IPCC合同WS及びパンデミックWSレポートを巡って)

繰り返される用語・概念の論争

プロセスの深化か 試行錯誤か

- Ecosystem Based- Solutions /Nature-Based Solutions
EUなどが推す 南米が警戒
déjà vu で グローバルアセスでも 類似した議論

各国がプロセスや意義の理解を深めていると
いう好意的な解釈も 可能だが。。

議長手腕・会議場の制約で 左右される要素も
例) 「自然がもたらすもの(NCP)」(IPBES5)

他の国際プロセスとの関係性

気候変動・パリ協定

IPCCのスコーピングプロセス
はIPBESと比べて軽い。
IPBESのスコーピングが重めと
いう声も(先進国IPCCの担当)

- Nexus 報告書における「気候変動」「エネルギー」
関連の言及の度合い(タイトル、etc)

→ 総会報告書 各国の主張を記載 独・提案

IPBESは 若いプロセス
(予算・人員の制約もある)

**今後の前例
経路依存性に注目**

Advanced work on policy instruments, policy support tools and methodologies (work programme objective 4(a)): Interim workplan for the task force on policy tools and methodologies for the intersessional period 2021–2022

1. Activities for the promotion of and support to the use of findings of IPBES assessments in decision-making will include:

(a) Convening of up to four dialogue workshops with actors at the science-policy interface to promote the use of the findings of completed thematic, regional and global IPBES assessments in decision-making, including engagement with existing platforms and networks. Dialogue workshops will be held online or in-person, to the extent possible, as part of or back to back with an existing regional or subregional meeting;

(b) Contribution of inputs related to policy support to capacity-building activities, including those related to national, subregional or regional science-policy platforms or networks or national ecosystem assessments;

(c) Provision of support to strengthen the IPBES impact tracking database (TRACK, available at: <https://ipbes.net/impact-tracking-view>), including by considering the development of case studies illustrating the use of completed IPBES assessments in decision-making;

(d) Exploration of opportunities and potential modalities for increasing the use of IPBES products by intergovernmental processes at global, regional and subregional levels;

(e) Identification of options for potential activities to strengthen the use of IPBES assessments in decision-making, building on the results of the analysis of responses to the survey on the use of IPBES assessments in policymaking at the subnational or national levels (see IPBES/8/INF/13).

(f) The task force will support policymakers with concrete services resulting from activities set out in paragraphs (a) to (e) above and earlier work of this task force.

2. Activities for increasing the policy relevance of IPBES assessments will include:

(a) Development of a strategy to further increase the involvement of practitioners in the assessment process;

(b) Distribution of the call for nominations of authors and fellows for the nexus and transformative change assessments through relevant networks to encourage the application of experts and practitioners on policy;

(c) Peer review by task force members of the draft scoping report for a business and biodiversity assessment;

(d) Peer review by task force members of the second order draft of the chapters and first order draft of the summary for policymakers of the invasive alien species assessment.

3. Activities to provide support to authors of policy chapters in IPBES assessments will include:

(a) Convening of webinars for authors of the nexus and transformative change assessments based on the methodological guidance for assessing policy instruments and facilitating the use of policy support tools and methodologies through IPBES assessments;

(b) Provision of support for the identification of policy-related knowledge gaps in IPBES assessments through the process led by the task force on knowledge and data.

4. The task force will maintain the policy support gateway as a repository for IPBES products.

評価プロセスへの 実務者の参加を促 進するの重要性

準備作業や内部での検討に多くの
作業が為されて
意思決定におけるIPBES評価の利
用を強化するための活動のオプ
ションを模索するため、**政策担当
者によるレビュー**など取り入れるこ
とをより明確にすべき

出典 IPBES/8/L.11
4 (a)): interim workplan for
the task force on policy
tools and methodologies
for the intersessional
period 2021–2022

6. *Further requests* the Executive Secretary, under the guidance of the Bureau, to review lessons learnt from online meetings and other online working practices, to provide proposals to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the Platform's working arrangements, including the implications on the budget, whilst responding to the need to enable full and effective participation of members, experts and stakeholders, and to report for consideration by the Plenary at its ninth session;

7. *Decides that:*

(a) Neither logos nor names of private-sector or non-governmental stakeholder donors may appear on IPBES reports and other knowledge products;

(b) All donors providing financial contributions to the trust fund, including private-sector and non-governmental stakeholders will be listed in the budget report;

(c) All donors providing financial contributions to the trust fund, including private-sector and non-governmental stakeholders can be listed on the website of the Platform subject to approval by the Bureau;

8. *Requests* the Bureau assisted by the Executive Secretary to report on the implications of paragraphs 7 (a), 7 (b) and 7 (c) above regarding acknowledgment of donors to the Platform for further consideration by the Plenary at its ninth session;

IPBES自体の予算の拠出の多様化は急務

- * 政府への依頼
- * NGO民間企業による拠出(仏 resource person/in kind で担当)

- * NGO寄付は議論継続

- * ただ、中立性・客観性については確認の決議


ロゴの使用も抑止的

9. Recalling rule 5 of the Financial Procedures for IPBES and the need to respect the independent and objective nature of the Platform's activities, *emphasizes* that no contribution to the trust fund, including from the private-sector and non-governmental stakeholders, shall orient the work of the Platform.

いくつかの論点（私見）

- 専門家会合・総会の開催方式
（リモート vs 対面 課題・メリット・予算への関係性）
- 政策支援
（実務家の参加、IPBESproductsの活用状況）
- 政府と科学者の役割・関与（と誤解）
（IPBES/IPCC合同WS及びパンデミックWSレポートを巡って）

IPCCの専門家 による説明



Launch of IPBES-IPCC Co-Sponsored Workshop Report on Biodiversity and Climate Change



ASSESSING
KNOWLEDGE

BUILDING CAPACITY

STRENGTHENING
THE KNOWLEDGE
FOUNDATIONS

SUPPORTING
POLICY

COMMUNICATION
AND ENGAGING

IMPROVING THE
EFFECTIVENESS OF
THE PLATFORM





[My account](#)

[Log out](#)

[EN](#) ▾



[HOME](#) [ABOUT](#) [DONORS](#) [WORK PROGRAMME](#) [NEWS](#) [CALENDAR](#) [DOCUMENTS](#) [RESOURCES](#)



IPBES #PandemicsReport: Escaping the 'Era of Pandemics'

IPBES/IPCC合同WS及び パンデミックWSレポートを巡って

- welcome に対し、政府が採択をしていない等の理由から take note
中立的な記載をすべきという主張が折り合わず紛糾
- 以下で合意

thanks the organizers and participants in the
IPBES workshop on biodiversity and pandemics,
appreciates the significant effort went into the
report, and invites the experts who will prepare
the thematic assessment

ご清聴ありがとうございました



香坂 玲

電子メール：kikori36@gmail.com

HP：<https://kohsaka-lab.jp/>

Facebook

<https://www.facebook.com/kohsaka.jp>

岩波ブックレット No. 1044

有機農業で変わる 食と暮らし

ヨーロッパの現場から

香坂 玲
石井 圭一

「特別なもの」から「日常」へ
生産・流通・消費の現場を徹底取材

有機農業の新たな波は、私たちの食と暮らしの問題に深く関わっている。社会的分断が広がる今の時代に必要とされる農業の姿を考える。

わかる、使えるくはじめての1冊！
岩波ブックレット

定価（本体520円＋税）

書籍（岩波書店HP）：

<https://www.iwanami.co.jp/book/b570571.html>

定価 572 円 A5 64 頁