

Third Workshop on Enhancing the Regional Distribution of CDM Projects in Asia and the Pacific

18–20 July 2012 • ADB Headquarters, Manila, Philippines

In partnership:

UNEP RISØ centre

CDM in Waste Sector: Issues and challenges

Akihisa Kuriyama Market Mechanism Group Institute for Global Environmental Strategies







Most CDM in LDS are waste sector







Third Workshop on Enhancing the Regional Distribution of CDM Projects in Asia and the Pacific

18-20 July 2012 • ADB Headquarters, Manila, Philippines

Monitoring has not been successful in waste sector

Issued ratio of monitoring report



18-20 July 2012 • ADB Headquarters, Manila, Philippines

There is no difference in monitoring period; time is not a matter

Average days of monitoring period







More reviews in monitoring report

Average number of review comments per monitoring report		Typical contents of review comment	
AMS.I.D	0.133	Calibration of meter, Explanation of measured data	
AMS.III.F	0.714	Measurement on waste, identification of baseline	
AMS.III.H	0.392	Biogas analysis, Measurement on COD, quantity of wastewater, electricity	
AMS.III.D	0.459	Biogas analysis, Survey on swine, Electricity consumption	

Common issues: Monitoring plan (data explanation, operation of meters)

Source: IGES CDM Review and Rejected Project Data Analysis







Waste projects have more process for monitoring

Methodology	Monitored by meter	Monitored by survey	
AMS-I.D. (RE)	 CO2 emission factor Quantity of net electricity supplied 	-	
AMS-III.G. (Methane recovery)	 Landfill gas (LG) volume and content Temperature and pressure of the LG 	_	
AMS-III.F. (Composting)	-	 Quantity of waste composted Waste delivered to the facility Weight fraction of the waste delivered to the composting 	
AMS-III.D. AMS-III.H. (Biogas)	 Biogas (BG) volume and content Temperature and pressure of the BG Flare efficiency 	 Manure Number of animal and days 	Volume ofWaste waterCOD







Framework of the issues in waste sector

- More process for monitoring (direct and survey) hinders the CERs issuance rate
- Project implementers are not familiar with monitoring parameters required by the CDM
- They find it difficult to ensure the quality of data
 Proposals
- Need to reduce the monitoring burden by providing the datasets or default values from regulatory side
- Develop specific guidelines and manuals for monitoring and data quality



