

MRV in the transport sector

Jane Romero Climate Change Group IGES



OUTLINE

- overview
- objective
- how to MRV transport NAMAS
 - lessons from CDM
 - data requirements
- way forward



Jane Romero



OVERVIEW

- transport sector emits about 13% of global but share of transport CDM projects is less than 1% of expected total CERs until 2012
- 26 out of 44 (64%) submissions included activities in the transport sector

Nature of NAMA proposals	Number	
Full sector strategy	-	
Sub-sector strategy	6	
Policies	17	
Program of activities	-	
Single projects	9	
Unspecified	8	



OBJECTIVE

To develop a simplified yet robust and practically applicable MRV methodologies for transport NAMAs / transport activities in new market mechanisms in a post-2012 framework

no guidance yet from UNFCCC

 explore existing methodologies that may be appropriate to the MRV needs of different types of transport NAMAs



TRANSPORT NAMAs

Content of NAMA proposals	Number
Technology oriented	12
Systems management	4
Integrated land-use planning	4
Economic	3
Regulation / enforcement	6
Mass rapid transit	12
Non-motorised transport (NMTs)	2
Other road	4
Unspecified	1
Public campaigns	6
Additional unspecified activity	9
No details	6



Most likely scenario for MRV





Most likely scenario for MRV





Identified issues

- How to improve yet simplify existing CDM methodologies?
- What are the data collected by government agencies? Are those data sufficient enough for MRV requirements?
- How to transfer accumulated capacity based from CDM experiences of private project proponents to government agencies implementing transport NAMAs?



Differences between CDM and NAMAs

CDM	NAMA	
Emission reductions used for Annex-1 country Kyoto compliance	Emission reduction account for NAMA country targets Exception for market based NAMA to be decided	
Coordination via private or public sector	Coordination most likely by government body	
Baseline and monitoring via CDM methodology	Baseline and MRV system not yet defined	
Financed through market	Market mechanism only an option	
Defined by PDD and CDM methodology	Broad, sectoral approaches beyond CDM possible	

Source: Adopted from Sekinger, 2011.



Approved CDM methodologies for transport

Category	Methodology	Purpose
Bus systems	AM0031, ACM0016	Shifting travel to more sustainable modes
Mass rapid transit systems	ACM0016, AMS-III.U	Shifting travel to more sustainable modes
Energy efficiency	AMS-III.AA, AMS-III.AP, AMS- III.C, AMS-III.AT	Improve the efficiency of modes
Fuel switch (bio-CNG)	AMS-III.AP	Improve the efficiency of modes
Fuel switch through retrofit	AMS-III.S	Improve the efficiency of modes
Transportation of cargo	AM0090	Shifting travel to more sustainable modes
Biofuel for transport Source: Adopted from "CDM in Charts v.14", IGES	AM0047, ACM0017, AM0089, AMS-III.AK, AMS-III.T	Improve the efficiency of modes



How to simplify CDM methodologies?

- use of default values
- benchmarking
 - adjustment of initial values after verification

STEP 1	STEP 2	STEP 3
use of initial default values (<i>ex-ante</i> estimation)	actual survey (monitoring)	adjusted values (<i>ex-post</i> verification)

- prioritize more capacity building
- strengthen data collection and management



CDM way of doing MRV

STEP 1

Baseline and project emissions are **measured** and **monitored** by project participant.

STEP 2

Collected and recorded data are **reported** to designated operational entity (DOE).

STEP 3

Data including procedures are **verified** as well as **certified** by the designated operational entity (DOE). Institute for Global Environmental Strategies



Number of days from monitoring to issuance of CERs



Source: IGES CDM Monitoring and Issuance Database, August 2011. Data on yearly basis per issuance of CERs.



Challenges in CDM

- Numerous parameters to be monitored which take a long time taken for the DOE/UNFCCC secretariat to verify and check their accuracy
- Monitoring method specified by the CDM methodology is not practical
- Lack of clear guidelines for MRV approaches such as in sampling sizes
- Lack of DOEs capable to do verification



Activities

- To develop simplified transport MRV methodologies
- To map out data gaps between data periodically collected by government agencies and data required to conduct MRV
- To develop tools complementing the transport MRV methodologies

Case studies

- Transport governance and data collection at different level
- Focus on road-based transport emissions in the city level in Beijing, Wuhan, Delhi and Ahmedabad

	National	Provincial	City
Transport Activity	•Who colled		
Modal structure		nd authority of reporting and co	ontrol?
Energy intensity	 Action plan 	with target?	
Carbon content	 Other polic 	ies and measur	es?



Survey on transport data requirements (Beijing, Wuhan, Delhi, Ahmedabad)

- general transport data
 - number of vehicles, fuel consumption, traffic count, trip length, mode share, vkt, ave. occupancy, ave. distance, travel time by mode, ave. speed, freight tonnes, kms of road, kms of footpaths and bike lanes, fuel efficiency, land use indicators, economic variables
- transport project evaluation / approval
- transport project monitoring and assessment
- future plans on transport



Findings from survey

- not all data required to conduct MRV are routinely collected
- transport data collected are scattered among different agencies
- transport data collected are not the same across cities
- capacity for data collection and management also varies among agencies and cities



Summary and way forward

- considerable experiences already available to MRV transport projects under CDM, GEF, ODAs, multilateral banks, etc
- not re-inventing the wheel, utilize appropriate existing methodologies and capacity
- initial wave of NAMAs will most likely still be project based
- pro-active in effort to continuously simplify, update and disseminate methodologies
- build a strong foundation for MRV data, data, data



Thank you for your attention.

romero@iges.or.jp