## ADDRESSING NON-ECONOMIC LOSS AND DAMAGES (NELDS) ASSOCIATED WITH CLIMATE CHANGE WITH A SPECIAL FOCUS ON EXTREME EVENTS

#### Sivapuram Venkata Rama Krishna Prabhakar

Principal Policy Researcher Institute for Global Environmental Strategies Hayama, Japan

Presented at the Consultative Conference on 'Development of multi-hazard risk and loss and damage framework of HKH', Dec 8-9, 2022, ICIMOD, Kathmandu, Nepal

# OUTLINE

- Introduction
- What are NELDs
- Why NELDs are important for adaptation?
- What L&Ds are being measured and what is not?
- MCA methodology for assessing L&Ds
- Measures to overcome these limitations
- Two cases of Bangladesh and Japan
- Conclusions

## **Disambiguation:** Loss and Damage (UNFCCC) or losses and damages (IPCC)?

- UNFCCC: <u>'address</u> loss and damage associated with impacts of climate change, including extreme events and slow onset events, in <u>developing countries</u> that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change'
- IPCC: refer broadly to harm from (observed) impacts and (projected) risks.
  - IPCC hopes this work to inform the discourse under UNFCCC.
- Differentiation and value addition aspects concerning the L&D:
  - Disaggregation of losses and damages
  - Avoidable and unavoidable L&Ds
  - L&Ds after adaptation (residual risks)

## WHAT ARE NON-ECONOMIC L&D<sub>s</sub> (NELD<sub>s</sub>)

#### Economic L&Ds:

- "The loss of resources, goods and services that are <u>commonly traded in markets</u>" (UNFCCC, 2013).
- Economic damages can be "<u>objectively verifiable monetary losses</u>" (Fischer, J. M., 2010)

#### Non-economic L&Ds:

- The loss of "those that are not commonly traded in markets" (UNFCCC, 2013).
- Non-economic damages can be "<u>subjective and non-verifiable losses</u>" (Fischer, J. M., 2010)
- <u>L&Ds on human functions, and L&Ds of social, cultural and</u> <u>environmental assets which are often not valued by the existing markets</u>

# WHAT ARE NON-ECONOMIC L&DS

Economic vs. Non-economic L&Ds

| Climate-related<br>disasters  | Example of<br>Economic L&Ds  | Examples of<br>Non-economic L&Ds  |
|---|--|---|
| Extreme weather/climatic<br>events:<br>e.g. Typhoons, Storms, Floods,<br>Cyclones, etc. | <ul> <li>Damages to buildings</li> <li>Loss of wages</li> <li>Loss of crops</li> <li>Reduction in tourism revenue</li> </ul>       | <ul> <li>Loss of life: lives killed</li> <li>Human health deterioration</li> <li>Forced displacement</li> <li>Destruction of cultural heritages (e.g. historic building)</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Slow onset events:</b><br>e.g. Sea level rise, Salinization,<br>Drought, etc.        | <ul> <li>Loss of wages</li> <li>Loss of crops</li> <li>Reduction in tourism revenue</li> <li>Damages to physical assets</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Human health deterioration</li> <li>Forced displacement</li> <li>Uninhabitable territory</li> <li>Damages to cultural heritages</li> <li>Loss of indigenous knowledge</li> <li>Loss of biodiversity and ecosystem (e.g. extinction of frog species, destruction of coral reefs, etc.)</li> </ul> |
| (Source: authors; based on UNFCCC, 2013)  |  |   |

## WHY NON-ECONOMIC L&DS ARE IMPORTANT FOR CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION?

- Non-economic L&Ds can be more significant than economic L&Ds:
  - Non-economic L&Ds can constitute as much as 50% of total L&Ds.
  - Aspects covered by NELDs provide a strong basis for resilience, long-term and effective recovery, and wellbeing.
- Non-economic L&Ds are currently less understood, and there are not sufficient assessment frameworks for addressing non-economic L&Ds.
- Can lead to underestimation of actual total loss and damages.
- Can lead to identification of inappropriate solutions: Non-economic L&Ds have not been well considered in climatic & non-climatic risk assessments and in designing insurance and compensation mechanisms (Hoffmaister & Stabinsky, 2012). Non-economic L&Ds has not been sufficiently reported in the most post-disaster reports and databases (Swiss Re, 2013).
- This leads to **insufficient** recovery, limited progress in DRR and CCA, and limited information (e.g., disaster database & reports) for decision-making by practitioners and policymakers on DRR and CCA.

# WHAT IS ACTUALLY NEEDED TO BE MEASURED?

| Non-economic impacts  | Bangladesh   | Japan   |
|-----------------------|--|---|
|                       | Cyclones   | Typhoons  |
| Human functions       | <ul> <li>Death</li> <li>Injury</li> <li>Infectious diseases</li> <li>Skin diseases</li> <li>Waterborne diseases</li> <li>Malnutrition</li> <li>PTSD</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Death</li> <li>Injury</li> <li>Infectious diseases</li> <li>PTSD</li> </ul>  |
| Sociocultural aspects | <ul> <li>Displacement</li> <li>Suicide</li> <li>Crime</li> <li>Adverse pregnancy outcome</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Displacement</li> <li>Damages to cultural heritages</li> <li>Conflicts, disputes</li> <li>Disagreement in cultural festivals</li> <li>Loss of school days</li> </ul> |
| Environmental assets  | Damage to coastal ecosystems   | Impacts to biodiversity and ecosystem     (Source: authors)   |

# WHAT IS ACTUALLY MEASURED?

Number of economic and non-economic L&D indicators reported at various international and national disaster reporting databases

| Database   | Number of indicators reported |                |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------|
|  | Economic                      | Non-economic   |
| EM-DAT   |                               | <mark>5</mark> |
| Japan (Database covering natural disasters during 2003-2011)   | 10                            | 5              |
| Bangladesh (database covering floods, cyclones and landslides) | 8                             | 3              |
|  |                               |                |
|  |                               |                |

# WHAT PERTINENT QUESTIONS ARE TO BE ADDRESSED?

#### Important observations:

- There is more emphasis on economic L&Ds in data from countries.
- There are more number of non-economic L&Ds that are never been reported;
  - Physical/mental diseases, people displaced, damages to social and cultural capitals, damages to biodiversity/ecosystem, and others.

Pertinent Questions:

- Do decision-makers have sufficient information on the following?
  - How do we identify, prioritize and measure non-economic L&Ds?
  - What aspects of non-economic L&Ds need to be recorded and reported?

## LOSS AND DAMAGE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES: DRR, PRE-DISASTER

| Quantitative<br>or Qualitative | Examples of<br>Approaches                                      | Overview  | Hazard type  |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Quantitative                   | Comprehensive approach<br>for probabilistic risk<br>assessment | Probabilistic risk assessment based<br>on GIS platform  | Earthquakes; Tsunamis; Hurricanes;<br>Floods; Landslides; Volcanoes        |
|                                | Catastrophe simulation model of the IIASA                      | Monte Carlo simulation of disaster<br>risks which examines fiscal and<br>economic risk  | Floods; Hurricanes; Weather and<br>climate-related hazards;<br>Earthquakes |
| Qualitative                    | Community based disaster<br>risk management<br>(CBDRM)         | Application of measures in risk<br>analysis, disaster prevention and<br>mitigation and disaster preparedness<br>by local actors   | Droughts; Heatwaves; Floods;<br>Hurricanes; Earthquakes; Volcanoes         |
|                                | Vulnerability and capacity<br>assessment (VCA)                 | Basic process used to identify the<br>strengths and weaknesses of<br>households, communities, and<br>institutions to support decisions<br>made in the development of<br>mitigation programmes | Droughts; Floods; Earthquakes  |
|                                |  | initigation programmes.   | 10   |

## METHODOLOGIES: DRR, POST-DISASTER

| Quantitative or<br>Qualitative | Examples of<br>Approaches  | Overview   | Hazard type  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Quantitative                   | Economic<br>Commission for Latin<br>America and the<br>Caribbean | Handbook that describes the<br>methods required to assess the<br>social, economic and<br>environmental effects of disasters.                           | Floods; Hurricanes; Weather and<br>climate-related hazards;<br>Earthquakes |
|                                | Emergency<br>Management Australia<br>(EMA)                       | Guidelines that explain the<br>process of loss assessment,<br>through the steps required to<br>carry out an economic<br>assessment of disaster losses. | Floods; Hurricanes; Weather and<br>climate-related hazards;<br>Earthquakes |
| Qualitative                    | CBDRM  | Same as above  | Same as above  |
|                                | VCA  | Same as above  | Same as above  |

# **METHODOLOGIES: CCA**

| Quantitative or<br>Qualitative | Examples of Approaches  | Overview   | Hazard type   |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Quantitative                   | Integrated impact<br>assessment models  | Model for the dynamics of<br>carbon accumulation in the<br>atmosphere and their<br>influence on the economy                                | No specific<br>hazard focus                             |
|                                | Country environmental<br>analysis (CEA), Strategic<br>environmental<br>assessment (SEA) | Analytical tools on the<br>prioritization of<br>environmental issues in<br>terms of their effect on<br>economic development<br>and poverty | Droughts; Land<br>degradation;<br>Floods;<br>Hurricanes |
| Qualitative                    | UKCCRA  | Same as above  | Same as above   |

# LIMITATIONS

#### • Methods:

- Most assessment methods are focused on economic L&D, complex in nature and hence not accessible to the stakeholders who actually measure L&Ds
- Most of them take 'steep learning' curve as actors engaged in DRR (and to an extent in CCA) are not well-versed with the non-economic valuations of L&Ds

#### • Systems:

- Institutional systems are not well developed to adopt and value NELD in decision making
- While social systems tend to value NELDs much higher than one would think

#### Information:

• Providing decision-relevant information can be a challenge as the information generated was detached from the users perspectives.

## PRIORITIZING ADAPTATION INTERVENTIONS USING MCA METHODOLOGIES

- Stakeholders engaged in L&D have multiple criteria/priorities/objectives.
- Multi-criteria methodologies:
  - MCA methodologies aid in selecting the 'best' alternative from the number of feasible choice-alternatives under the presence of many criteria and diverse criterion priorities
  - Examples:
    - Cost-benefit analysis (focus on dollar value)
    - Cost-effectiveness analysis (focus on cost per outcome)
    - Analytic hierarchy process (AHP) (qualitative)

# **ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP)**

- •AHP helps in structuring of a multi-dimensional problem into a hierarchical tree with criteria and alternatives.
- Developed by Prof Thomas Saaty in 1990.
  Most reliable MCA method.
- Easy to interpret.
- Efficient for project and policy evaluation.
- Intuitive and flexible over other methods.
- Helps evaluates measures and alternatives.

# AHP ADVANTAGES

- Helps capturing both subjective and objective evaluation measures and alternatives.
- Pair-wise comparison is easy to understand.
- Group decision is supported through consensus by calculating geometric mean of the individual pair-wise comparisons.
- Reduces bias in decision-making.
- Offers effective means in situations of uncertainty and risk through derivation of scale where measures do not exist.



# <section-header>



18



#### INDICATORS FOR ASSESSING NELD (10 areas, 31 indicators)

| Area of NE<br>L&Ds | Overview                        | Indicators  |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Human life         | Loss of life (death)            | No. of people killed  |
| Human health       | Health deterioration            | <ul> <li>No. of people injured</li> <li>No. of people suffered infectious diseases</li> <li>No. of people suffered chronic diseases</li> <li>No. of people suffered mental diseases</li> <li>No. of people suffered malnutrition</li> </ul> |
| Education          | Loss of educational opportunity | <ul> <li>Bullying</li> <li>No of schools discontinued</li> <li>No of children dropped out school</li> <li>No of children temporary discontinued school</li> </ul>   |
| Human mobility     | Displacement                    | • No. of people displaced   |
| Territory          | Loss of place<br>attachment     | <ul> <li>Place identity (<i>emotional</i>)</li> <li>Place dependence (<i>functional</i>)</li> </ul>   |

| IMPORTANT INDICATORS    |                                 |  |  |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Area of NE<br>L&Ds      | Overview                        | Indicators   |  |
| Social capital          | Disruption in social<br>network | <ul> <li>Participation in social activities</li> <li>Acceptance of community leaders</li> <li>Social hostilities</li> <li>Ability to build consensus</li> <li>No. of cooperatives/membership in societies</li> <li>No. of households migrating (seasonally)</li> <li>No. of women with migrated husband</li> </ul> |  |
| Cultural heritage       | Loss of cultural attachment     | <ul><li>Cultural identity to cultural heritage sites</li><li>Cultural dependence on cultural heritage sites</li></ul>  |  |
| Indigenous<br>knowledge | Loss of indigenous<br>knowledge | <ul> <li>Availability of indigenous knowledge (IK)</li> <li>Availability of people with IK</li> </ul>  |  |

# **IMPORTANT INDICATORS**

| Area of NE<br>L&Ds                     | Overview                               | Indicators  |
|--|--|---|
| Local governance                       | Disruption in in institutional network | <ul> <li>Collaboration</li> <li>Organizational conflicts</li> <li>Ability to facilitate external coordination</li> </ul>              |
| Biodiversity/<br>Ecosystem<br>services | Biodiversity/ecosystem deterioration   | <ul> <li>Species abundance</li> <li>Species diversity</li> <li>Area of forest</li> <li>Water available in rivers and lakes</li> </ul> |
|  |  |   |

# IMPORTANT CRITERIA FOR IDENTIFYING NELD INDICATORS

Criteria for identifying indicators on non-economic L&Ds

- I. Value given by society
- 2. Significant impact on the larger well-being of family/society in the longrun
- 3. Cost of measuring an indicator
- 4. Policy relevance of an indicator
- 5. Relevance to DRR-CCA planning
- 6. Measurability
- 7. Verifiability
- 8. Familiarity
- 9. Exclusivity









# WHY?

#### Low Performance of Insurance

- Insurance is seldom consider NELDs and hence don't address NELDs
- Improved income stabilization doesn't necessarily lead to immediate improvements in non-economic aspects of life
- Traditional issues: High opportunity and operational costs, insufficient loss coverage, high price, trust issues

#### What about Compensation?

- No opportunity cost (no need to pay to get it)
- Mostly certain that some form of compensation will arrive soon

28

# RECOMMENDATIONS

- Handholding exercises with governments in measuring NELD and incorporating that information into CCA and DRR decision making
  - Provide compelling evidence for importance of NELD by comparing NELD with ELD on comparable terms (tough task)
  - Incorporating NELD indicators into local data collection formats of governments
  - Assess CCA and DRR initiatives based on NELD efficacy and update priorities assigned to them
  - Quantification problem Focus on impacts for which methodologies exist (e.g. ecosystem services)

## THANK YOU!

To APN and SLYCAN Trust for this initiative. To participants for listening.

