FOREST RESOURCE ACCOUNTS AND TRADE MODELS

Nobuyuki Yamamoto^{*}

ABSTRACT

This is a detailed study of natural resource accounts conducted in Nordic countries and western European countries. The development of natural resource accounts started in Norway as early as the 1970s. Since Nordic countries have large forested areas and produce significant outputs of forest products, forest resource accounts is one of the main fields of study from the early days. The theme of forest resources is one of the most crucial in natural resource accounts even now. The purpose of this paper is to consider the significance of forest resource accounts within the trade model. In order to explain it, we are mainly concerned with two concepts: one is forest resources accounts; the other, land accounts. Forest resources accounts can be defined as a consistent accounting framework used to adjust forest-related resource and environmental information. Land accounts can be defined as the accounting framework describing the present state and historical changes to various land areas. In this paper we show repeatedly that it is important to construct forest resource accounts as a consistent framework in order to encompass the relationship between the social economy and the natural environment. It is obvious that we still face many difficulties in constructing that system, however it may be the best way to reconstruct positive social science for environmental problems.

INTRODUCTION

In Agenda 21, the action program adopted at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro in 1992, it is explicitly stated that all members should develop systems for integrated environmental and economic accounting. The UN, through its statistical secretariat, the United Nations Statistical Division (UNSD), sets the standards of the System of National Accounts (SNA), using a satellite system to the SNA called the System of integrated Environmental and Economic Accounts (SEEA). The purpose of the SEEA is to show the impacts of economic activities on the natural environment.

The SEEA consists of several parts including both physical and monetary accounts. The first step is to link environmental data, expressed in physical units, with the national accounting system. The second step is making monetary accounts based on the physical accounts, in order to evaluate the impacts in economic terms. This would mean to estimate, for example, the costs of damage caused by pollution emissions. Furthermore, an accounting system entails that data compilation is made in terms of balanced accounts.

A detailed study of natural resource accounts was made in Nordic countries and

^{*} Nobuyuki YAMAMOTO, 1060 Nishikawatsu, Matsue, Shimane, JAPAN, Fax +81-852-32-6515 e-mail : yamamt@life.shimane-u.ac.jp

western European countries. The development of natural resource accounts started in Norway as early as the 1970s. Since Nordic countries have large forested areas and produce a significant output of forest products, forest resource accounts are one of main fields of study available there from

early on. The theme of brest resources is still a crucial theme in natural resource accounting even now.

The purpose of this paper is to consider the significance of forest resource accounts within the trade model. In order to explain it, we are mainly concerned with two concepts. One is forest resource accounts; the other is land accounts.

GENERAL STRUCTURE OF FOREST RESOURCE ACCOUNTS

What is forest resource accounting? In brief, it can be defined as a consistent accounting framework used to adjust forest-related resource and environmental information. Figure 1 represents the placement of each component of a forest account.

Forest resource accounts include four components: (1) forest accounts, (2) forestland accounts, (3) forest product accounts and (4) forest management accounts.

Forest accounts represent the initial stock, flow over time, and final stock of trees growing in forests in terms of the tree volume. What should be noted is that the increase and decrease of tree volume is caused, not only by tree growth, but also by changes of the



Figure 1. The Structure of Forest Resource Accounts

forestland area. In order to solve this problem, we can adopt forestland accounts.

Forest product accounts use weight, volume, or other physical measurements to describe the process of the varieties of use from raw logs to waste. Forest resource accounts include two accounts: the forest sector/product balance table and the forest mass balance table. When we combine forest accounts and forest product accounts into one united account, we can describe consistent systems from forest growth to the abandonment of wood residues.

Forest management accounts use monetary terms to show the flow of funds used in managing forests. Various monetary flows, such as subsidies, taxes and investments, are described in these accounts. All of the monetary flows represented in these accounts are real money which are transacted in actual markets.

Within forest resource accounts, the forestland accounts serve to directly link forest accounts with forest management accounts, and provide for their consistency. Inputs and outputs for forest management necessarily reflect the current state of the forest through forestland. In these systems, the most difficult challenge is linking forest accounts with forest management accounts, because it means linking land accounts and economic accounts, that is, physical accounts and monetary accounts. In order to construct forestland accounts, it is appropriate to utilize GIS (Geographic Information Systems) or LIS (Land Information Systems).

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF FOREST RESOURCE ACCOUNTS IN THE TRADE MODEL

1. Problems involved with the Trade Model

When we analyze the timber trade problem and gain some insight, we apply quantitative methods to frequently. Indeed, the quantitative model is effectual for the trade problem, because in many cases we can find commodity markets that measure quantity. But once we try to think about the linkages between trade and the environment, we will see there are various limits to the quantitative model.

One of the limits is the problem of data availability. We have relatively abundant data about economic markets. But data showing the relationship between the economy and the natural environment are hardly ever included. As the natural environment has been placed out of the market's interests that pay attention to only economic profits until quite recently, data that represent linkages between economy and the natural environment have scarcely been collected.

The same may be said of applying quantitative methods to forest problems. Unlike other industrial sectors, we have been taking notice of the linkages in the forestry sector comparatively early. But we do not have as much useful data available as before. This is the reason we face the difficulty of data-collecting immediately, when we try to apply quantitative models to forestry problems.

2. Data Availability in the Forest Sector in Japan

I would like to focus attention on the following three points. (a) Data for non-timber use and recreational use are not as available as data for timber use. (b) Governments have not gathered data representing the linkages between forest resources and forest management. (c) In Japan, forest inventories were conducted only twice; in 1961 and 1966, and their quality and comparability are very poor.

To put it another way, we can describe it as a poverty of forest stock statistics that are closely related to the linkages between the economy and the natural environment in the forest sector.

These problems are applied not only to Japan. What is true for forest sector data in Japan is true to a considerable extent for other countries as well. The problem of poor data availability is serious, especially after analyzing timber issues in developing countries.

When we discuss the lack of data internationally, it is useful to refer to the "International Statistics Source". Though the "International Statistics Source" is the book that examines international comparability of statistical data by field, we think we can infer actual conditions in each country.

This book has 46 rough fields, which include four forest-related fields : construction, environment, forestry and land. They have more detailed fields as follows: (a) Construction: earnings, employment, industry, materials, prices and production; (b) Environment (related to forestry): damage, forest cover, wood area, ownership, production and trade in forest industry products; , (c) Forestry: consumption, damage, employment, financial accounts, holdings/wooded area, industry, investment, prices and production; (d) Land: agriculture, forestry, land area and land use.

We would like to emphasize two points of view. First, statistics on environment and land is generally poorer than industrial statistics such as construction and forestry. Second, in European countries they have comparatively more statistics on the environment and land than other countries. We can say that progress of natural resource accounting in European countries is a major trend.

3. Use of Forest Resource Accounts in the Trade Model

So far, we have seen the difficulties with the trade model. We think that forest resource accounts resolve these problems to some degree, because forest resource accounts supplement the lack of data and offer consistent environmental data to contrast the trade model, as mentioned chapter 2.

Then if we could get accurate statistical data, how could we apply the framework of forest resource accounts to the trade model?

One answer is simply defining forest resource accounts as consistent data sources for the trade model. This seems very simple, but it's important. We have hardly had any consistent environment statistics that we can utilize in the trade model yet. We have referred to this repeatedly.

Another answer is constructing international forest resource accounts. The concept of international forest resource accounts is linking forest resource accounts in some regions. If we succeeded in constructing these accounts, the linkage between forest products trade and the natural environment can be well drawn. When we think about international forest resource accounts, it is useful for us to refer to the CSEEA as a Global Accounting Matrix (Ariyoshi, 1997). CSEEA is a Complete System for integrated Environmental and Economic Accounting Matrix (SAM) and a detailed rest-of-theworld account. The CSEEA is also an extended form of the NAMEA (de Haan and Keuning, 1996) with a full balance sheet and a detailed rest-of-the-world account.

However, the plan of the international forest resource accounts may be too grand for us presently. We have just arrived at the beginning of constructing forest resource accounts at last. In the first place, it is appropriate to aim for a consistent data source.

FOREST ACCOUNTS AND FOREST PRODUCT ACCOUNTS

1. Material Energy Balance Approach

A close study of natural resource accounts has been made in Nordic countries. The development of natural resource accounts started in Norway as early as the 1970s. The concept of these accounts is founded on the Material Energy Balance (MEB) approach.

The MEB approach is a resource and environmental evaluation method. It can represent the social utilization process of material and energy from extraction to abandonment. OECD countries have studied positively, and developed accounts on some themes, such as inland waters, forests, forest products, air pollution, energy and so on.

MEB is generally constructed from three accounts:

- 1) stock accounts
- 2) sector/commodity table
- 3) mass balance table

Stock accounts describe the level of physical stocks of various resources at the beginning and the end of a given accounting period. It compares each physical stock and shows the change. Sector/commodity tables trace the flow of physical resources and products from extraction to final use. Mass balance tables convert sector/commodity tables into common mass numbers that balance material inputs on one hand with material outputs, energy outputs and environmental discharges on the other hand.

Since Nordic countries have large forested areas and produce significant outputs of forest products, forest resource accounts are a major field. At first, Sweden took the initiative in this field. Nowadays, in Finland they eagerly study this approach, because they have abundant forest sector statistics. Some work has also been done in Japan.

2. The Structure of Forest Accounts and Forest Product Accounts

The Norwegian model for forest resource accounts has the same components as the MEB approach. That is to say that it consists of the following three tables:

- 1) the forest balance table
- 2) the forest sector/product balance table
- 3) the forest mass balance table.

The concept of each table is basically derived from the MEB model. The forest balance table describes the level of physical stocks of forest resources at the beginning and the end of a given accounting period. It compares each physical forest resource stock and shows the change. The forest sector/product balance table traces the flow of physical forest resources and products from extraction to final use via forest processing sectors. The forest mass balance table converts forest sector/product balance tables into common mass numbers that balance material inputs with material outputs, energy outputs and environmental discharges.

In the framework of figure 1, the forest balance table corresponds to forest accounts. Both the forest sector/product balance table and the forest mass balance table are included in forest product accounts. Concrete examples are covered in the rest of this chapter.

	Π									Paperboar	
							Plywood /			d &	Waste
		Logs	Fuelwood	Charcoal	Residues	Lumber	Veneer	Pulp	Paper	Others	Paper
		(1000CUM)	(1000CUM)	(1000CUM)	(1000CUM)	(1000CUM)	(1000CUM)	(ton)	(ton)	(ton)	(ton)
Removal		8155	28984								102332
Import		381				4	4	67000	26000	228653	264000
Export		51	0	1		77	223	10000	4000	6305	
Change in Stocks		389	34	2		58	95	-9000	-30000	-34000	-8453
Total Primary Supply		8874	29018	1		-15	-124	48000	-8000	188348	357879
(Wood-Processing Sector)											
1 Sawmill Industry	(I)	6816	1151		822						
	(O)		2469		793	4210					
2 Fuelwood products	(I)		26485								
_	(O)		26485								
3 Charcoal Industry	(I)		3851								
2	(\mathbf{O})			1926							
4 Veneer/Plywood	(I)	1123	206		16						
2	(\mathbf{O})		207		143		596				
5 Pulp Industry	(\mathbf{I})	531	275		99			24342			356238
	က်		275					446739			
6 Paper Industry	(\mathbf{I})		275					186045			
• • • F •• ••••	$\hat{\mathbf{O}}$								194000		
7 Paperboard Industry	(\mathbf{I})							260694	19.000		
/ Taperboard Industry	$\hat{\mathbf{O}}$							200074		271840	
Total Input to WP sector	(0)	8470	31968	0	937	0	0	471081	0	2/1010	356238
Total Secondary Supply		01/0	29436	1926	936	4210	596	446739	194000	271840	000200
(Final Demand for wood)		0	27450	1720	/30	4210	570	++0737	174000	271040	
(Final Demand for wood)											
1 Agriculture	(1)					91	6		1950	9820	
2 Food manufacturing	(1)		105	96		3	0		19565	156453	
3 Mining construction	(1)	376	100	,,,		1653	184		2751	13854	
4 Trade transport	(1)	0				101	89		15723	55879	
5 Publishing printing	(1)	0				101	07		100113	88953	
6 Other manufucturing	(1)	0	2048			20	3		10782	70308	
7 Furniture & fixtures	(1)	0	2040			600	58		17762	70508	
8 Millworks miscellaneou	(1)					1462	64				
0 Services & commerce	(1)	28	1407	280		1402	04 9		0504	47850	085
10 Covernment services	(1)	20	1407	209		100	0		15260	12567	90J 656
11 Household	(1)		22026	1550		4	50		2122	3504	050
Total Input to ED	(1)	404	26106	1025	0	4102	59 470	0	186790	460199	16/1
Total Input to FD		404	20480	1935	027	4193	472	471001	180/80	400188	1041
Total Demanu		00/4	58454	1955	937	4195	472	4/1081	186000	400188	357870
		88/4	38434	1927	930	4195	4/2	494/39	180000	400188	33/8/9
Errors & Leakages		0	0	8	1	2	0	23658	780	0	0

Table 2. Physical Sector Commodity Table in Philippines (1990)

Source : Rapera C.L. "Environmental Accounting for the Forest and Timber Resources : The case of the Philippines",

Koike K. and S. Fujisaki ed." Forest Resource Accounting – The Nordic Experience and Asian Experiments", Institute of Developing Economies, 1997, 250pp, p27-124 (modified)

3.Forest Sector/Product Balance Table in Southeast Asian Countries

First of all, let us consider the forest sector/product balance table. Tables 1, 2, and 3 indicate forest sector/product balance tables in Indonesia, the Philippines and Thailand. In the project of the Institute of Developing Economies (Japan), these tables were completed in a united effort between Japan and the statistical sections in each southeast Asian country.

Let us explain how to interpret the typical forest sector/product balance table in Table 2.

Each row shows a sector. The wood processing sectors have seven sectors ranging from the sawmill industry to the paperboard industry. The other sectors, which are called

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						Other					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Industrial			forest					Paper
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			round wood	Firewood	Charcoal	products	Sawnwood	Board	Pulp	Paper	recycled
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	D		(1000CUM)	(1000CUM)	(1000CUM)	(ton)	(1000CUM)	(ton)	(ton)	(ton)	(ton)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Removal		524	0.575	1.50	308063	1404	64006	1 (00005	261421	214074
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Import		2313	2575	152	16453	1494	64906	169225	361421	214064
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Export		0	1	135	598	49	1925	1900	48518	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Change in Stocks		2927	0	10	222010	1445	5(001	1 (72)5	212002	214064
	(Wood Processing Sector)	-	2837	2576	16	323918	1445	20981	16/325	312903	214064
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(wood-Processing Sector)										
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1 Soumill Industry		2665	24							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1 Sawiiiii Indusu'y	(1)	2005	24			2132				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2 Charcoal Industry	(0)	65	2407			2152				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2 Charcoar Industry	(1)	05	2407	2473		1				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3 Other forest products	(0)			2475		108				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5 Outer forest products	(1)					100				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	A Board Industry	(0)									
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 Board Industry	(1)						0/710			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5 Pulp Industry	(0)	0			46160		94/19			136175
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5 Fulp Industry	(1)	0			40100			1501/8		130173
6 Faper Industry (1)	6 Donor Industry	(0)							206472		527552
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	6 Paper Industry	(1)							520475	704272	150664
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total Input	(\mathbf{U})	2720	2421	0	16160	100	0	226172	194212	439004
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total Secondary Supply	(1)	2730	2431	2473	40100	2132	0/710	1501/8	704272	459604
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Final Domand for wood)	(0)	0	0	2473	0	2132	94/19	139140	194212	439004
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Pinal Demand for wood)										
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1 Agriculture	(1)	0	5	108	10010	2	100			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2 Mining & Quarrying	(1) (1)	0	5	108	10019	2	20		210	
3 Statignering (1) 3 3 6 151 0 103 6 5 Tabacco (1) 4 4 6 1054 61949 6 6 Apparel (1) 1 6 156 1054 61949 6 7 Furniture (1) 1 69211 908 3226 17472 8 Paper products (1) 1 6305 2322 195186 9 Printing (1) 1 6305 2322 16910 11 Rubber (1) 12 0 343 2 12 Ceramic (1) 12 0 343 2 13 Communication (1) 12 0 343 2 14 Ship Building (1) 12 2 37 394 14 Ship Building (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 16 Other manufucturing (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 18 Civil Engineering (1) 13 </td <td>2 Mining & Quarying 3 Slaughtering</td> <td>(1)</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>101</td> <td>23</td> <td>0</td> <td>29</td> <td></td> <td>210</td> <td></td>	2 Mining & Quarying 3 Slaughtering	(1)	0	0	101	23	0	29		210	
4 Sugar (1) 1 1 156 1054 61949 6 Apparel (1) 1 156 3226 17472 7 Furniture (1) 1 69211 908 3282 - 8 Paper products (1) 1 6305 2227 195186 9 Printing (1) 1 6305 2322 16910 11 Rubber (1) 12 0 343 2 12 Ceramic (1) 3 36 1 164 831 13 Communication (1) 3 36 1 164 831 13 Communication (1) 4 5 102 - - 14 Ship Building (1) - 68 2210 2671 - 16 Other manufucturing (1) 1 12949 66 1750 56285 17 Construction (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 </td <td>4 Sugar</td> <td>(1)</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>191</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>103</td> <td></td> <td>151</td> <td></td>	4 Sugar	(1)		1	191		0	103		151	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 Tabacco	(1)		+		156	0	1054		610/0	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6 Apparel	(1)				150		2005		17472	
8 Paper products (1) 1 09211 908 3262 195186 8 Paper products (1) 1 6305 2627 195186 9 Printing (1) 1 6305 2322 16910 11 Rubber (1) 12 0 343 2 12 Ceramic (1) 3 36 1 164 831 13 Communication (1) 3 36 1 164 831 13 Communication (1) 1 5 102 1 16 14 Ship Building (1) 1 12949 66 1750 56285 17 Construction (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 18 Civil Engineering (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 1264 21 Financial (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 1264 22 Other (1)	7 Euroituro	(1) (1)	1			60211	008	3220		1/4/2	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8 Paper products	(1)	1			09211	908	2627		105186	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 Printing	(1)						1859		192502	
10 Mutathe (1) 1 1 0 343 2 11 Rubber (1) 12 0 343 2 12 Ceramic (1) 3 36 1 164 831 13 Communication (1) 3 36 1 164 831 13 Communication (1) 3 36 1 164 831 14 Ship Building (1) 5 102 757 394 15 Automobile (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 16 Other manufucturing (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 18 Civil Engineering (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 1264 20 Restaurant (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 1264 21 Financial (1) 92 8 136 93673 313 89147 446585	10 Medicine	(1)		1		6305		2322		192302	
11 Kubbel 12 12 14 60 34.3 2 12 Ceramic (1) 3 36 1 164 831 13 Communication (1) 3 36 1 164 831 14 Ship Building (1) 5 102 757 394 14 Ship Building (1) 68 2210 2671 16 Other manufucturing (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 18 Civil Engineering (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 18 Civil Engineering (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 1264 20 Restaurant (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 1264 21 Financial (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 1264 22 Other (1) 92 8 136 93673 313 89147 <td>11 Pubbor</td> <td>(1)</td> <td></td> <td>12</td> <td></td> <td>0505</td> <td>0</td> <td>242</td> <td></td> <td>10/10</td> <td></td>	11 Pubbor	(1)		12		0505	0	242		10/10	
12 Certaint (1) 1 10	12 Ceramic	(1)		12		36	1	164		831	
13 Communication (1) 374 14 Ship Building (1) 5 102 757 15 Automobile (1) 68 2210 2671 16 Other manufucturing (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 17 Construction (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 18 Civil Engineering (1) 12 2 37 2513 2043 1612 3732 19 Commerce (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 12264 20 Restaurant (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 1264 21 Financial (1) 13 278 77930 0 133 1264 22 Other (1) 92 8 136 93673 313 89147 446585 23 Government (1) <t< td=""><td>12 Communication</td><td>(1)</td><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td>50</td><td>1</td><td>757</td><td></td><td>30/</td><td></td></t<>	12 Communication	(1)		5		50	1	757		30/	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14 Shin Building	(1)					5	102		574	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15 Automobile	(1)					68	2210		2671	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16 Other manufricturing	(1)				129/19	66	1750		56285	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17 Construction	(1)	12	2	37	2513	2043	1612		3732	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17 Construction	(1)	12	2	57	2313	2043	1566		12100	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	10 Commerce	(1)					1 40	6465		112190	
20 Restandant (1) 15 278 7750 0 153 1204 21 Financial (1) 98 9897 46718 22 Other (1) 92 8 136 93673 313 89147 446585 23 Government (1) 92 8 136 93673 313 89147 446585 24 Household (1) 88 1739 4943 12 19300 6383 Total 107 145 2490 277758 3468 151700 0 1107175 673728 Total Demand 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Total Supply 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Errors & Leakages 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 Restaurant	(1)		13	278	77030	49	133		1240	
21 1	20 Restaurant 21 Financial	(1)		15	270	11750	0	9897		46718	
22 Guici (1) 52 6 130 95073 515 69147 446385 23 Government (1) 0 3564 33906 24 Household (1) 88 1739 4943 12 19300 6383 Total 107 145 2490 277758 3468 151700 0 1107175 0 Total Demand 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Total Supply 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Errors & Leakages 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 That 22 Other	(1)	02	0	124	02672	212	2027 801 <i>4</i> 7		1/6505	
25 Goveniment (1) 8 100 5394 53966 24 Household (1) 88 1739 4943 12 19300 6383 Total 107 145 2490 277758 3468 151700 0 1107175 0 Total Demand 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Total Supply 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Errors & Leakages 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 Other	(1) (1)	92	0	150	93073	515	09147 2564		22006	
Zet Inductional (1) oo 1737 4943 12 19300 0385 Total 107 145 2490 277758 3468 151700 0 1107175 0 Total Demand 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Total Supply 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Errors & Leakages 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	23 Government 24 Household	(1) (1)		00	1720	40.42	10	10200		2292	
Total Demand 107 143 2490 27738 3408 151700 0 110/175 0 Total Demand 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Total Supply 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 326473 1107175 673728 Errors & Leakages 0	Z+ HOUSCHOID Total	(1)	107	00 145	2/00	4743 277750	3/69	151700	0	1107175	0
Total Supply 2837 2576 2490 323918 3577 151700 320473 1107175 673728 Errors & Leakages 0	Total Demand		2827	145	2490	277130	2577	151700	326172	1107175	672720
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total Supply		2037	2570	2490	323910	3577	151700	320473	1107175	673728
	From Suppry		2037	2370	2490	525710	5511	131700	520475	110/1/5	013120

Table 3. Physical Sector Commodity Table in Thailand (1990)

Source : Damrongsak Chindakul et al. "Environmental and Natural Resource Accounting : The case of the Forest Accounting in Thailand", Koike K. and S. Fujisaki ed." Forest Resource Accounting – The Nordic Experience and Asian Experiments", Institute of Developing Economies, 1997, 250pp, p144-172 (modified)

Table 4. Mass Balance Table in Indonesia (1990)

									,	,				(uni	it: 1000ton)
		Less	Commune of	Chanasal	Particle	Dula	Domon	Paper	Dasiduala	Dru nuo du os	Enal	Emission	Tronofor	Dada	Total
		Logs	Sawnwood	Charcoar	board	Puip	Paper	recycleu	Residuais	Бу ргоцист	Fuel	EIIIISSIOII	Transfer	Daus	Total
1. Sawmill Industry	(I)	4440	21												4461
	(O)		2517						230	208	581	214	298	414	4461
2. Charcoal Industry	(I)	873													873
	(O)			603								135	135		873
3. Board Industry	(I)	10888	17		47		4								10956
	(O)				6149				38	379	3769	534	1	87	10956
4. Pulp Industry	(I)	40				3	19	73	;						136
	(O)					44						92			136
5. Paper Industry	(I)					605	19	459							1084
	(O)						975		5	101		3			1084

Source : La Ode Syafiuddin et al. "Mass Balance Table of Wood for Indonesia", Koike K. and S. Fujisaki ed." Forest Resource Accounting – The Nordic Experience and Asian Experiments", Institute of Developing Economies, 1997, 250pp, p125-143 (modified)

Table 5. Mass Balance Table in Philippines (1990)

													(unit: 100	Oton, bone d	lry weight)
						Plywood /			Paper						
	_	Logs	Sawnwood	Fuelwood	Charcoal	Veneer	Pulp	Paper	recycled	Residuals	Fuel	Emission	Transfer	Bads	Total
1. Sawmill Industry	(I)	3197								13					3210
	(O)		1975	404	39					26	540	47	175	5	3211
2. Fuelwood products	(I)			12422											12422
	(O)			12422											12422
3. Charcoal Industry	(I)			1806											1806
	(O)				967							840			1807
4. Board Industry	(I)	479													479
	(O)			1		335				54	88		1		479
5. Pulp Industry	(I)	172								34					206
	(O)						110				93	2			205
6. Paper Industry	(I)						55								55
	(O)							194							194
7. Paperboard Industry	(I)						55								55
	(0)								272						272

Source : Rapera C.L. "Environmental Accounting for the Forest and Timber Resources : The case of the Philippines", Koike K. and S. Fujisaki ed." Forest Resource Accounting – The Nordic Experience and Asian Experiments", Institute of Developing Economies,

1997, 250pp, p27-124 (modified)

Table 6. Mass Balance Table in Thailand (1990)

																(unit	: 1,000ton)
		Industrial round wood	Sawnwood	Firewood	Charcoal	Plywood/ Veneer	Fibre board	Particle board	Pulp	Paper	Paper recycled	Residuals	Fuel	Air	Water	Soil	Total
1. Sawmill Industry	(I)	1739															1739
	(0)		1057										524	17		141	1739
2. Charcoal Industry	(I)			1101													1101
	(0)				413								220	358		110	1101
3. Plywood/ Veneer	(I)	295	i														295
	(0)					147							124	9		15	295
4. Fibre Board Industry	(I)	209															209
	(0)						160						36	13			209
5. Particle Board	(I)											346					346
	(0)							242						69		35	346
6. Mechanical Pulp	(I)																0
	(0)																0
7. Chemical Pulp	(I)	180										182					362
	(0)								159					19	157	27	362
8. Paper Industry	(I)								326		652						978
	(0)					1				877		I		14	61	26	978

 Source : Damrongsak Chindakul et al. "Environmental and Natural Resource Accounting : The case of the Forest Accounting in Thailand",

 Koike K. and S. Fujisaki ed. "Forest Resource Accounting – The Nordic Experience and Asian Experiments", Institute of Developing Economies,

 1997, 250pp, p144-172 (modified)

"the final demand for wood" have 11 sectors ranging from the agricultural sector to the household sector. Four rows from the top represent removal, that is, cutting the forest, importing, exporting and changes in stocks, respectively. The total primary supply is the consequence of balancing them. The notations "I " and "O" represent the inputs and outputs of each sector.

Each column shows commodities. There are 10 commodities in Table 2 ranging from logs to waste paper. The unit of commodities in Table 2 is converted into cubic meters and metric tonnes.

We can interpret Table 2 as follows:

The amount of timber felled from domestic forests was 8,155,000 cubic meters (CUM) with 51,000 CUM exported. They imported 381,000 CUM from foreign countries. The change in stock was 389,000 CUM. Lastly, 8,874,000 CUM were supplied to other domestic sectors as the total primary supply. The destination of their logs includes 6,816,000 CUM of wood to the sawmill industry, 1,123,000 CUM to the board industry, 531,000 CUM to the pulp industry and 404,000 into other sectors.

Inputs to the sawmill industry consisted of 1,151,000 CUM for fuelwood and 822,000 CUM excluding logs. Their inputs make the sawmill industry output 4,210,000 CUM in lumber with 2,469,000 CUM in fiuelwood and 2,469,000 CUM in residues.

Judging from the above, we can see that the sum of each column theoretically balances to zero. However, since the data in this table was collected from different sources which are not necessarily consistent, errors are generally unavoidable in the sector/commodity table.

4. Forest Mass Balance Table in Southeast Asian Countries

In this paragraph, we examine the forest mass balance table. Tables 4, 5, and 6 indicate the forest mass balance tables in Indonesia, the Philippines and Thailand. These tables are based on the forest sector/product balance table. That is, the forest mass balance table converts the forest sector/product balance table into common mass numbers that balance material inputs with material outputs, energy outputs and environmental discharges.

How to interpret the forest mass balance table in Table 6:

Each row shows sectors that include the five sectors from the sawmill industry to the paper industry. The notations "I" and "O" represent the inputs and outputs of each sector. These are similar to the forest sector/product balance table.

Each column shows commodity and environmental discharges. There are 10 commodities ranging from logs to fuel and 3 environmental discharges ranging from emissions to bads??? in Table 4. The units of all commodities in Table 4 are converted into metric tonnes.

Theoretically, the sum of each row balances. For example, let us explain by using the sawmill industry in Indonesia. According to Table 4, inputs into the sawmill industry were 4,440,000 tonnes of logs and 21,000 tonnes of sawn wood. Outputs from the sawmill industry were 2,517,000 tonnes with sawn woods as the main products, 214,000 tonnes in emissions and so forth. We can see that the sum of each column balances theoretically to zero. But in Table 5, in the case of the Philippines, two columns of totals regarding the paper industry and the paperboard industry are unbalanced. The reason is that only in the case of the Philippines accounts, everything except for paper is measured by bone dry weight.

LAND ACCOUNTS

1. Importance of Land Accounts

One need not go on repeatedly into the importance of land as regards its importance in carrying on our daily socio-economic activities. Especially in a discussion of forests, the importance of land cannot be disregarded, because forest cannot exist without the land.

In the developing countries as well, many problems considered environmental, such as deforestation and soil erosion, are closely linked to the land. But the critical lack of statistical information on land is a serious impediment to solving these problems.

In recent years, we are seeing attempts at solutions by employing the rapidly developing remote- sensing technologies. These involve using data from satellite imagery and other sources to continuously observe changes in developing countries' land cover and land use. But it is evident that using only aerial photographs makes it difficult to determine the complex causes of deforestation and other phenomena.

Land use is dependent on natural conditions and the socio-economic conditions of each geographical region. And land cover is determined by the impacts of land use and based on the land's natural conditions. The state of the land in any one region is therefore determined by a combination of its natural and socio-economic conditions. This shows the great importance of starting by building a statistical system that relates these various kinds of information organically and continues to collect information, while maintaining consistency. In doing so, the concept of accounts is useful.

2. Two Perspectives for Forestland

Roughly speaking, there are two ways to perceive forests, depending on the point of view. One is to describe everything related to forests by looking down from above. This means grasping the forest from the forestry sector's point of view. The other is to see forests from the ground up, which sees them as one form of land use among others, such as agriculture and residential tracts. This means grasping forests from the point of view of total land uses.

As shown in Figure 2, forestland accounts can be seen as accounts that link two systems of forest resource accounts and land accounts. When we look down at forests from above, we put forestland accounts in forest accounts. On the other hand, we put it in land accounts.

We have already explained forestland accounts in forest accounts in chapter 2. Now let us proceed to an explanation of land accounts.

Land accounts are composed of not only forest land accounts, but also agricultural accounts and accounts for other land uses that includes residential land accounts, accounts for unused land, and so on. Each type of land accounts is meant to describe the state of and changes in land. If the state of land is its stock, and the changes among various states constitute flow, it is possible to put it down in the form of an account. Of the various kinds of land accounts, it is the forestland accounts that divides the forestland portion from the land accounts for a number of different uses.

3. Forestland Accounts

Within forest resource accounts, the forestland accounts serve to directly link forest

accounts with forest management accounts, and provide for their consistency. Inputs and outputs for forest management necessarily reflect the current state of forests through forestland.

In most societies that property rights develop in, they have a delimitation for land and manage their land by cadastral??? parcel, that is, a continuous tract of land within which unique tenure interests are recognized. Namely, just one who has property rights for land can dispose of the land basically. That goes for forestland, too. In such a society, it is appropriate to construct forestland accounts based on land parcels.

Figure 3 indicates this concept graphically. Land tenure in the figure means one cadastral parcel that has its own boundary. One who has property rights for this land decides how to manage his holding lands. He inputs some productive factor such as labor and capital. This will cause his land to output some fruits, such as forest products, water supply and recreational effects. Additionally, that will have some influence on the land cover as part of the natural environment.

In order to represent such forestland accounts, it is appropriate to apply Land Information System (LIS) or Geographic Information System (GIS) information Actually, in northern European countries, such as Denmark, Sweden, Finland and so on, they have built and used cadastral and land registration systems based on LIS for whole lands. We may say that forestland accounts are included in their system. We may also think that forest inventory systems in France, Swiss, Canada and so forth have the possibility that they develop their forestland accounts.

So far we have outlined forestland accounts. However, in many cases of the developing countries it would be inappropriate to apply forestland accounts as mentioned above, because they do't establish definite boundaries of land. Although we can think about using group registration and so on, it would be difficult at the moment, except as part of an urban area. First of all it, is prohibitively expensive to construct forestland



Figure 2. The Linkage between Forest Resource Accounts and Land Accounts

accounts from scratch. Accordingly, we shall leave it as it is for the future, turn to forestland accounts in land accounts that are built inexpensively than in forest resource accounts.

4. Land Accounts

Land accounts are composed of not only forest land accounts, but also agricultural accounts, urban land accounts and accounts for other land uses, including industrial land accounts, accounts for unused land and so on. Each type of land accounts is meant to describe the state of and changes to the land. So because we use land accounts, we are interested in the interaction among various land uses.

Land accounts have been developed mainly by western European countries, such as France, Germany and the U.K. with the support of EUROSTAT. They have systematized it as Land Use/Land Cover Accounting (LUCA). LUCA is an attempt to organize information bases for environmental integrated assessment purposes. Indicators derived from Land Cover inventories, as well as field data from monitoring networks (including biodiversity aspects), actual use and related socio-economic data are taken into consideration in the accounting

Figure 4 shows the general framework of land cover and land use accounts. Accounts comprise core accounts that represent changes in land cover and use, as well as supplementary accounts meant for certain purposes. The two main elements of supplementary accounts are pressures by human socio-economic activities and the natural potential of the land such as climate, flora and so on. These are ultimately connected through core accounts.

Core accounts provide basic information on the structure of and changes in land cover and land use. This information is brought together by land observation techniques such as remote sensing like satellite imagery and aerial photography. Other data sources are necessary to give a detailed account of actual land use affecting land cover. Fundamentally, accounts use data collected from either directly observed values (by remote sensing and the like) or sample plots (land use maps and the like) by extrapolating them onto larger geographical areas.

Let us explain our trial for building land accounts in a certain Japanese rural area.

Our test area is Kisuki town, Shimane Prefecture in Japan. This town has a population



Figure 3. The Structure of Forestland Accounts

of more than 10,000 and is located in a typical rural area. We tried to construct land flow accounts for about 90 years in this area by using some topographical maps that also have the characteristics of a land use map. At the beginning, we built GIS data by comparing an 1899 topographical map with a 1990 topographical map (Okanishi M., 1999), then we constructed land flow accounts by using GIS.

Table 7 shows land flow accounts in the Japanese rural area from 1899 to 1990. The row indicates land use in 1899, and the column indicates that in 1990. So each section shows changes in land use from 1899 to 1990. Now we shall pick up just two points that we can see from the data in this table.

First we will consider changes in forest area. The forest area in this town decreased from 4,856 hectares to 4,748 hectares. As shown in Table 7, the change, in fact, is various. Namely, the forest area decreased in one place, but increased in another. And land use before the changes are also different respectively. We can understand that the paddy field is the most interactive with the forest. Table 8 accounts for areas cut down for 200m in width on both banks of rivers. This account shows paddy fields increased near rivers, contrary to Table 7.

The examples of land accounts discussed so far in this paper are all from the developed countries. In consideration of the serious lack of statistical information on land, it seems extremely difficult to prepare land accounts in the developing countries, in contrast to the seriousness of the problem.

However, in recent years we have at last begun to see a way to surmount these



Figure 4. Framework of Land Use / Land Cover Accounts

Source: Weber J.L. "Landscape analysis and the assessment of causal links between anthropic pressure and the availability and vulnerability of natural resource in an accounting framework", the paper distributed at the Progress in Environment and Resource Accounting Approach - A principle to the Global Environmental Issues -, Matsue, 13-15 October, 1997, 62pp, p45-50

Table 7. Land Flow Accounts in a certain Japanese Rural Area from 1899 to 1990

	(unit : hectare													
1899 \ 1990	1. Forest	2. Paddy Field	 Vegetable Field 	4. Mulbery Field	5. Tea Plantation	6. Nursery	7. Orchard	8. Housing	9. Industrial	10. Gravel	11. River	12. Vacant	Error	Total
1. Forest	4146	392	94	62	0	0	0	52	27	8	9	2	64	4856
1.1 Coniferous	925	152	39	25			0	27	17	2	3	1	20	1213
1.2 Broadleaved	677	37	14	5				13	1		2		10	759
1.3 Mixed	2121	146	33	22				4		4	3	0	30	2363
1.4 No used	422	58	7	10				8	8	2	2		4	521
2. Paddy Field	264	465	48	18			3	72	8	8	8	2	2	898
3. Vegetable Field	112	47	10	14				17		3	2		4	210
4. Mulbery Field								2						2
8. Housing	85	61	17	16				74	1	0	2	0	0	257
11. River	54	28	4	1				6	0	14	31	1	11	149
Error	87	13	1	1				0	0	1	11			115
Total	4748	1007	174	111	0	0	3	224	36	35	63	4	82	6487

Table 8. Land Flow Accounts in a certain Japanese Rural Area from 1899 to 1990 (The case of 200m in wideth on both banks of rivers)

(unit · hastara)

	1 Forest	2 Paddy	3 Vegetable	4 Mulbery	5 Tea	6 Nursery	7 Orchard	8 Housing	9 Industrial	10 Gravel	11 River	12 Vacant	Error	Total
1899 \ 1990	moreat	Field	Field	Field	Plantation	0.11013013	// Officiard	ornousing). Industrial	To: Olufoi	· · · · · · · ·	12. Vuodint	Liioi	rotai
1. Forest	1199	138	54	23	0	0	0	29	4	8	9	1	16	1482
1.1 Coniferous	268	47	24	11				15	3	2	3	0	3	378
1.2 Broadleaved	226	15	11	4				5	1		2		6	271
1.3 Mixed	648	70	17	6				3		4	3	0	7	757
1.4 No used	57	6	2	2				6	0	2	2		0	76
2. Paddy Field	129	328	36	9			0	63	5	8	8	1	1	587
3. Vegetable Field	36	22	4	8				11		3	2		4	90
4. Mulbery Field								2					0	2
8. Housing	56	46	15	15				52	1	0	3	0	0	189
11. River	54	28	4	1				6	0	14	31	1	11	149
Error	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1474	561	113	56	0	0	0	164	10	34	52	2	32	2499

difficulties through recent developments in remote sensing technologies. As with the United Nations Environment Programme's (UNEP) Global Resource Information Database (GRID) project, theInternational Geosphere-Biosphere Programme and the Human Dimensions of Global Environment Change Programme's (IGBP-HDP) Land-Use and Land-Cover Change (LUCC) project, people are using satellite imagery and other remote-sensing data to continuously observe changes in the developing countries' land cover and land use.

But it is also clear that finding the causes of complex phenomena such as forest loss is difficult using only aerial photographs and satellite imagery. Land use depends on both the land's natural conditions and a region's socioeconomic conditions. And land cover is determined by the impacts of land use, while it is at the same time based on the land's natural conditions.

In this way natural and socioeconomic conditions combine to determine the state of the land in any one region. It is therefore of the greatest importance to begin by building a statistical system that organically relates various kinds of information and amasses it, while maintaining consistency. The application of land accounts to developing countries can be conceived in this context.

CONCLUSION

So far, we discussed the significance of forest resource accounts within the trade model. We explained two concepts: forest resource accounts and land accounts. We said repeatedly that it is important to construct forest resource accounts as a consistent framework in order to consider the relationship between the social economy and the natural environment.

It is obvious that we still face much difficulty in constructing that system. However, it may be more effecient to reconstruct positive social science for environmental problems.

REFERENCES

Alfsen K.H., Torstein Bye and Lorents Lorentsen (1987) Natural Resource Accounting and Analysis: The Norwegian Experience, Central Bureau of Statistics of Norway, 71pp

Andreas Kahnert (1990) Basic Methodological Problems in the Use of Monitoring and Sampling for Purposes of Environment Statistics, Statistical Journal of the United Nations ECE 7, 101-123

Andrew Scott, Roy Haines-Young (1996) Linking Land Cover, Intensity of Use and Botanical Diversity in an Accounting Framework in the UK, International Association for Research in Income and Wealth Special Conference, 20pp

Ariyoshi, N.(1997) A Global Accounting Matrix for Environment and Economy, the paper distributed at the Progress in Environment and Resource Accounting Approach – A principle to the Global Environmental Issues -, Matsue, 13-15 October, 1997, pp. 8-12.

Conference of European Statisticians (1995) Physical Environmental accounting: Land Use/Land Cover, Nutrients and the Environment, 211pp

CORINE Land Cover (1992) 1992 European Conference of the "International Space Year, 24pp

Davie A. (1989) Attempting a Patrimony Account for Land in Ivory Coast: Information System and Agriculture Development, Statistical Journal of the United Nations ECE 16, 27-50

ECE(1988) Environment Statistics in the Work Programme of the Conference of European Statisticians, Statistical Journal of the United Nations ECE 1.5, 113-121

Fleming M.C. and J.G. Nellis (1995) International Statistics Sources, Routledge, 892pp

Furuido, H. (1997) Forest Resource Accounts and Their Use, In: Koike K. and S. Fujisaki (eds), Forest Resource Accounting – The Nordic Experience and Asian Experiments, Institute of Developing Economies, pp.173-183

Glenn-Marie Lange (1996) Building Physical Resource Accounts for Namibia: Depletion of Water, Minerals, and Fish Stocks and Loss of Biodiversity, International Association for Research in Income and Wealth Special Conference, 29pp

de Haan, M. and S. J. Keuning (1996) Taking the Environment into Account : The NAMEA Approach, The Review of Income and Wealth 42-.2, 131-148.

Jonathan Parker, Anton Steurer, Ronan Uhel and Jean-Louis Weber (1996) A General Model for Land Cover and Land Use Accounting, International Association for Research in Income and Wealth Special Conference, 25pp

Koike K. and S. Fujisaki (ed.s) (1997) Forest Resource Accounting – The Nordic Experience and Asian Experiments, Institute of Developing Economies, 250pp

Larsson G. (1991) Land Registration and Cadastral Systems, Longman Scientific Technical, 175pp

Okanishi M.(1999) Change in Land Use on a Rural Area in Shimane (in Japanese), Graduation thesis in Shimane University

Statistics Norway (1983) The Norwegian System of Resource Accounts", Statistical Journal of the United Nations ECE 1.1, 445-461

United Nations (1993) Handbook of National Accounting: Integrated Environmental and Economic Accounting, Interim version, United Nations (ST/ESA/STAT/SER.F/61)

Uno K. and P. Bartelmus (eds) (1998) Environmental Accounting in Theory and Practice, Kluwar Academic Publisher, 459pp

Walter Radermacher (1996) Land Use Accounting - Pressure Indicators for Economic Activities , International Association for Research in Income and Wealth Special Conference ,28pp

Weber J.L. (1983) The French Natural Patrimony Accounts, Statistical Journal of the United Nations ECE .1, 419-444

Weber J.L. (1994) Environment Statistics and Natural Resource (Patrimony) Accounting, National Accounts and the Environment Papers and Proceedings from a Conference, p279-302

Weber J.L.(1997) Landscape analysis and the assessment of causal links between anthropic pressure and the availability and vulnerability of natural resource in an accounting framework, the paper distributed at the Progress in Environment and Resource Accounting Approach – A principle to the Global Environmental Issues -, Matsue, 13-15 October, pp.45-50

Yamamoto N.(1997) Handling of Woodland in Natural Resource Accounts, In: Koike K. and S. Fujisaki (eds), Forest Resource Accounting – The Nordic Experience and Asian Experiments, Institute of Developing Economies, pp184-194